

# MULTIHOP ROUTING WITH BANDWIDTH ALLOCATION IN WIRELESS MESH NETWORKS

*Abstract*—: Wireless mesh network is in the form of mesh topology, it can be connected through wireless access points. The network user is used for forwarding the data to the next node. In this paper, we propose the problem of identifying the maximum available bandwidth path to support the high throughput service flows and high reliability. Using new path weight with DCOR can limit the over consuming of the network resource. The congestion control can be optimized using MORE protocol. We also prove that the multihop routing techniques also satisfies the consistency and loop freeness requirements. In this simulation also prove that each node in the network makes a proper packet transmission and to support low latency service transmission flows in wireless mesh networks.

*Keywords*—component; wireless mesh networks; mesh topology; MBP; DCOR; MORE

## I. INTRODUCTION

The wireless mesh networks consists of multiple number of nodes in it. To achieve the effective communication through the principle of routing protocol. They can follow the number of mechanisms in order to forward the packet. The mobility of nodes in the network causes convergence in mobile ad hoc networks. This leads to heavy traffic in mesh network, so they require the load balancing routing schemes.

To achieve these requirements, the literature survey focuses on design the routing metrics for wireless mesh networks [1][2]. To provide the efficient Quality-of-service is essential for finding the path in the network. Normally, the mesh network consists of large number of paths in it. In order to find the maximum available bandwidth path, the routing metrics are introduced. The problem of identifying the available bandwidth path using the term Bandwidth Constrained Routing problem(BCRP)[17]. Then finding the bandwidth path between the source and destination in wireless mesh networks is complicated due to the transmission of interference. In the wireless networks, there are two types of interference are there. They are interflow interference and interflows interference [1]. The interflow interference refers to the neighbouring routers that share the same flow path. It can reduce the interference at the inter flow level and it competing for the same busy channel. Alternatively, intraflow interference refers to the interference between the intermediate routers along the same flow path. Interflow interference is difficult to find the widest paths in the case of hop-by-hop manner routing protocol. The problem of identifying the widest path is still not solved.

In this work to perform routing in the 802.11 based wireless mesh networks and they make the following aid in it.

1. To propose the new path weight algorithm that captures the available bandwidth. It gives the mechanism to compare two paths based on the new path weight as left-isotonic[4].

2. Then to construct the routing table and distance table and to built up a hop-by-hop packet forwarding scheme. And it also satisfies the optimality and consistency requirements[8].

On the other hand, Delay Constrained Opportunistic Routing (DCOR) Protocol a new routing protocol is suitable for wireless mesh networks well again. DCOR not only improve the reliability and to reduce the possibility of reduplicate packets. DCOR methods is similar to NCOR[19], as they can be optimized using MORE (MAC Independent Opportunistic Routing and Encoding protocol)[18] in order to send encoded packets. Moreover, MORE protocol is a new method based on ExOR method. They can be operated based on the encoding form to achieve the better performance in wireless mesh networks.

Alternatively, opportunistic routing protocol methods improves the transmission rate over multicast routing forwarders in wireless mesh networks. MORE protocol aspects of following problems such as:

1. As the increase of message load the network collision may occur, causes hidden terminal problems.

2. The end-to-end delay latency is difficult due to MAC access procedure form.

3. Due to data collision, the network throughput decreases rapidly in it leads to the network load exceeds the peak.

The rest of this paper describes the hop-by-hop routing protocol with DCOR technique. Normally, the DCOR with new path weight algorithm could increase the throughput of the network and also favour for congestion control. Due to this emerging techniques causes low link probability in wireless mesh network. The increase of throughput in the wireless mesh network can automatically enhance the overall performance of the network. But, this combinatorial protocol is independent of MAC layer. Moreover, the tool NS2 can be utilized to simulate the model of this paper.

## II. RELATED WORKS:

In order to identify the maximum available bandwidth path, several metrics are introduced[1]. These metrics compute the minimum or maximum available bandwidth paths in it. Some of the metrics are introduced, they are ETX, WCETT and so on[5]. The ETX refers to the most essential one such that to compute the number of data transmission in order to send the packet over the network link[6]. The ETT is another version for the previous metrics. Its mainly concentrated on the packet size in it. In this work, we propose the single channel wireless mesh network and data rates of all the network links are the same[8]. Normally in a hop-by-hop routing protocol consists of large number of relay nodes between the source and destination. Using, this the packets are transferred through the routing techniques[5]. The routing protocol can have the forwarding character its favour

for transmitting the packet from source and the decide destination[12].

Some existing Qos routing protocol such as DCOR with the combination of new path weight algorithm do the computation of maximum available bandwidth path with the efficient congestion control system[17][19]. Based on the number of hops in a network the path can be constructed. The routing metrics can be introduced in this paper. It is helpful to find the widest path among the various links. Then we consider the DCOR (Delay Constrained Opportunistic Routing) methods have the high throughput and effective congestion control system[18]. They also do the multi-type services and the traffic flows transmit based on the 802.11 series with the MAC protocols[5]. The protocol such as MORE can be used to optimize the congestion control system. The DCOR specify the broadcasting nature of MAC protocol with low latency service transmission in wireless mesh networks[19]. The metrics such as iAWARE,IRU protocols can be applicable for interference links in wireless mesh networks. The MAC protocol such as named 802.11Ext of NS2;it sends encoded packets via the broadcast channel and batch acknowledgement through the widest paths of the wireless mesh networks[15].To achieve the bandwidth computation using the scheduling mechanisms[4]. Our main goal is to transmit the packet via the widest path and also have the effective congestion control system.

Author describes the review for the Qos support routing protocol[1][2][6]. The cross-layer mechanism describes the computation of 802.11 MAC protocol. Therefore, our routing protocol can be easily incorporate the current wireless devices[15].

### III.PROPOSED WORK:

In the proposed work to increase the throughput and also satisfies the consistency and loop-freeness requirement. For that purpose we introduced the combination of new path weight algorithm with DCOR technique.

Normally, in the wireless mesh networks consists of large number of nodes. So we have to concentrate on the throughput in order to send the packet from source to destination.

Moreover, problems are faced when the packets are send from one neighbour node to the other. For this reason to introduce the routing protocols in our proposed work.It is important to produce the efficient Quality-of-service in this type of networks. In this model, the available path bandwidth denotes the widest path n it. Therefore in this paper mainly focused on finding the Maximum available Bandwidth Path (MBP)[1]. In addition to the opportunistic routing protocols can be used to control the congestion among the multiple paths and gives the high reliability.




Fig 1:Mesh Topology

#### A.Path selection

The path selection can be achieved by distance-vector mechanism. In those mechanism, the nodes has to advertises of its own best available paths to all its neighbour nodes. The figure explains the path selection mechanism. Each node in the network has its own perspective. Then, node has to identify the widest paths. In the case of MORE protocol, based on the path weight algorithm they transmit the packet to the destination through the next-hop neighbouring nodes in it. And the batch ACK return to the source from the destination, these activities can be done using the unicast channel access. For example fig.1 shows the computation of choosing the widest paths from source to destination.

#### B.Isotonic path weight calculation

In order to achieve consistency and loop-freeness requirements, the path calculation can be apply to find the widest paths between all the intermediate nodes. Let as gives the definition of left-isotonicity property.

**Left-isotonicity:**The quadruplet  $(S, \oplus, \omega, \geq)$  is left-isotonic if  $\omega(a) \geq \omega(b)$  implies  $\omega(c \oplus a) \geq \omega(c \oplus b)$ ,for all  $a,b,c \in S$ , where  $s$  is a set of paths,  $\oplus$  is the path concatenation operation,  $\omega$  is a function which maps a path to a weight, and  $\geq$  is the order relation.

Using this condition the path calculation can be done. These principles able to find the maximum available bandwidth path through the use of metrics and parameters. To achieve efficient routing by the applicable of routing protocols. The concept of finding the widest path to transmit the packet from source to the destination is called as composite available bandwidth path(CAB). The path with the absence of dominating path is represented as non-dominated path in the network.

#### C. Constructing table

The table construction is essential for the routing scheme. Using the isotonic path weight calculation results improve the routing protocols efficiency in it. The path calculation gives the maximum available bandwidth paths. Through the non-dominated paths, they illustrate the routing information to all its neighbours. The transmission of packets which carries the path information is the route packet. Normally, the route packet consists of the following information as NF(P)-first hop neighbor node,NS(P)-second hop neighbor node,NT(P)-

third hop neighbor node. These parameters represent the first next-hop, second next-hop and the third next-hop neighbours. Here, each node in the network consists of two tables as routing table and distance table. The distance table consists of the non-dominated path information and the routing table describes the QoS routing protocols. Suppose in the fig.1 represents the node  $s$  advertises  $u$  as the non-dominated paths, and removes the dominated path from  $p$ .

#### D. Forwarding the packet

After consider the calculation mechanism, to forward the packet across the widest path from source to destination. The source node  $s$  wants to transmit the packet to  $d$ , they makes the path information as  $p = \langle s, v_1, v_i, \dots, v_n, d \rangle$ . Each node in the network makes a consistent decision to forward the packet. Through the next-hop path information they starts their transmission. The *Routing Field* which carries the next four hops information. In the packet forward mechanism each intermediate node to make the perfect route decisions by using the results of routing tables. The route decision can be made by hop-by-hop manner mechanism.

We can also consider the MORE protocol the packet transmission can be done over by routing metrics as (ETX) Expected transmission count. Based on the candidate forwarding set value the packet starts their transmission.

The algorithm explains the process of MORE protocol. This process can be worked based on the sliding window concepts. When they satisfy the condition with new path weight algorithm, they automatically are forwarding the packet to its destination through the intermediate nodes in it.

#### E. Updating route

It is the final stage of the network process. Sometimes, the network finds the new flow with the maximum available bandwidth path. They suddenly release their existing path and start the transmission with the new flows of the network. The updating process can be done by the routing protocol of DSDV. They can operate based on the sequence number. This method can easily distinguish their available paths. The mechanism of CBR traffic can be introduced to determine the network flows in it. By the use of MORE protocol, the sliding window process with the sequence number can easily update the network process mechanism.

## IV. RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

### SIMULATION SETUP

In this project, to implement the new path weight algorithm with DCOR exhibits the high throughput performance. For this purposes, to setup the NS2 simulation as,

|                   |                  |
|-------------------|------------------|
| Channel type      | Wireless channel |
| Propagation model | Two ray ground   |

|                        |                    |
|------------------------|--------------------|
| Network interface type | Physical interface |
| Layer                  | MAC                |
| No of nodes            | 70                 |
| Routing protocol       | AODV               |
| x-dimension            | 1000m              |
| y-dimension            | 1000m              |
| Transmission range     | 300                |
| Simulation starts time | 1.0s               |
| Simulation ends time   | 214s               |

Table.1 Simulation Settings

### SIMULATION PARAMETERS

The simulation focuses on some of the network properties such as:

1. Throughput
2. Packet delivery ratio
3. End-End Delay
4. Data Packet Forwarding Overhead

The throughput is analyzed with time. The other parameters are analyzed with various numbers of nodes such as 25 nodes, 50 nodes, 75 nodes and 100 nodes.

### SIMULATION RESULTS I

In this simulation scenario, the network throughput can be increased with the applicable of DCOR protocol in path weight algorithm. It shows the better performance than the existing routing protocols.

The figure explains the network throughput is plotted as packet received with respect to time. Due to the combination of path weight algorithm with DCOR. The throughput of the wireless network increases significantly. The main reason of this results due to the high packet delivery ratio and packet drop is comparatively less.

Here, the vertical line denotes the packet received values. The horizontal line denotes the time with respect to packet received ratio.

The overall network throughput is increased gradually by using the simulation setup.




Fig 2. packet received versus time

## SIMULATION RESULTS II




Fig 3.packet delivery ratio versus no of nodes

The packet delivery ratio is plotted against the number of nodes. Also they compare the ratio of existing with proposed DCOR method. It is efficient when compared to previous method.

The overall delivery ratio can be increased gradually in this simulation setup.

## V.CONCLUSION

In this paper, we studied the problem of discover the maximum available bandwidth path in wireless mesh networks. So, we can implement the new path weight algorithm with DCOR for the widest path. The new path weight algorithm follows the left-isotonicity property which supports proactive hop-by-hop routing protocol and also satisfies the consistency and optimality requirements.

Based on the high bandwidth requirements, a source can immediately transmits the packet with the high throughput performance.To test out protocol performance under different scenarios.

And the DCOR technique can improve the transmission of the multiflows networks. They also satisfy the load of network conditions and the congestion control can also be handled.

## REFERENCES

[1] Szymon Chachulski, Michael Jennings, Sachin Katti, Dina Katabi, Trading Structure for Randomness in Wireless Opportunistic Routing, MIT CSAIL Technical Report(February 23, 2007).  
 [2] Q. Zhang and Y.-Q. Zhang, "Cross-Layer Design for QoS Support in Multihop Wireless Networks," Proc. IEEE, vol. 96, no. 1,pp.234-244, Jan. 2008.  
 [3] C.-Y. Chiu, Y.-L. Kuo, E. Wu, and G.-H. Chen, "Bandwidth-Constrained Routing Problem in Wireless Ad Hoc Networks,"IEEE Trans. Parallel and Distributed Systems, vol. 19, no. 1, pp. 4-14,Jan. 2008.

[4] X. Cheng, P. Mohapatra, S.-J. Lee, and S. Banerjee, "MARIA: Interference-Aware Admission Control and QoS Routing in Wireless Mesh Networks," Proc. IEEE Int'l Conf. Comm. (ICC '08), pp. 2865-2870, May 2008.  
 [5] J. K. Sundararajan, D. Shah, M. Medard, M. Mitzenmacher, and J. Barros, Network Coding Meets TCP, in Proc. of IEEE INFOCOM, 2009.  
 [6] CodeOR: Y. Lin, B. Li, and B. Liang. CodeOR: Opportunistic routing in wireless mesh networks with segmented network coding. In Proc. Of IEEE ICNP, 2008.  
 [7] Yunfeng Lin, Baochun Li, Ben Liang, CodeOR: Opportunistic Routing in Wireless Mesh Networks with Segmented Network Coding, IEEE Conference(2008).  
 [8] Y. Yang and J. Wang, "Design Guidelines for Routing Metrics in Multihop Wireless Networks," Proc. IEEE INFOCOM, pp. 2288- 2296, Apr. 2008.  
 [9] M. Genetzakis and V.A. Siris, "A Contention-Aware Routing Metric for Multi-Rate Multi-Radio Mesh Networks," Proc. Fifth Ann. IEEE Comm. Soc. Conf. Sensor, Mesh and Ad Hoc Comm. And Networks (SECON '08), pp. 242-250, 2008.  
 [10] Y. Yang and R. Kravets, "Contention-Aware Admission Control for Ad Hoc Networks," IEEE Trans. Mobile Computing, vol. 4, no. 4, pp. 363-377, Apr. 2009.  
 [11] H. Li, Y. Cheng, C. Zhou, and W. Zhuang, "Minimizing End-to- End Delay: A Novel Routing Metric for Multi-Radio Wireless Mesh Networks," Proc. IEEE INFOCOM, pp. 46-53, Apr. 2009.  
 [12] Dimitrios Koutsonikolas, Chih-Chun Wang, Y. Charlie Hu, CCACK: Efficient Network Coding Based Opportunistic Routing Through Cumulative Coded Acknowledgments, IEEE INFOCOM (2010).  
 [13] Yunfeng Lin Ben Liang Baochun Li. SlideOR: Online Opportunistic Network Coding in Wireless Mesh Networks INFOCOM, 2010 Proceedings March 2010, p. 1-5.  
 [14] T. Liu and W. Liao, "Interference-Aware QoS Routing for Multi- Rate Multi-Radio Multi-Channel IEEE 802.11 Wireless Mesh Networks," IEEE Trans. Wireless Networks, vol. 8, no. 1, pp. 166- 175, Jan. 2010  
 [15] Hariharan Rahul, Haitham Hassanieh, and Dina Katabi, SourceSync: A Distributed Wireless Architecture for Exploiting Sender Diversity, SIGCOMM Conference 2010 (August 30-September 3), New Delhi, India.  
 [16] The Network Simulator—ns2, <http://www.isi.edu/nsname/ns>, 2011.  
 [17] Ronghui Hou, King-Shan Lui, Fred Baker, and Jiandong Li, Senior Member, IEEE, "Hop-by-Hop Routing in Wireless Mesh Networks with Bandwidth Guarantees" IEEE TRANSACTIONS ON MOBILE COMPUTING, VOL. 11, NO. 2, FEBRUARY 2012.  
 [18] Zhi YIN a,\_, Mi WENa, Chunming YEb, "Opportunistic Routing Protocols in Wireless Ad Hoc Networks Using Directional Antennas" Journal of Information & Computational Science 9: 15 (2012) 4461-4466  
 [19] Zhang Sanfeng1, Li Shuang2, Huang Di2, Member,IEEE, "MAC Independent Routing Methods for Multi-SeSupportting Wireless Mesh Networks" 978-1-61284-683-5/12/2012 IEEE

